COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

TARIFF FILING OF LITEL TELE-)
COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION TO OFFER) CASE NO. 90-226
VISTA AND VISTA WATS SERVICE)

ORDER

On July 12, 1990, LiTel Telecommunications Corporation ("LiTel") filed tariffs with the Public Service Commission ("Commission") seeking permission to provide two new services, Vista and Vista WATS. LiTel proposed to make the tariffs effective August 11, 1990.

On August 8, 1990, South Central Bell Telephone Company ("SCB") filed a motion to intervene based on the premise that LiTel's proposed new services could be utilized to provide unauthorized intraLATA service. SCB contends that LiTel should be subject to: (1) any compensation arrangement that may be ordered by the Commission; and (2) reporting requirements ordered in similar tariff filings.

Vista is an outbound service requiring the customer to originate calls via dedicated facilities between the customer's premises and the local telephone company's serving central office and allowing the termination of calls via LiTel-provided facilities and local business lines. Vista WATS is an outbound service requiring the customer to originate calls via dedicated facilities between the customer's premises and LiTel's terminal

location and allowing the termination of calls via a combination of LiTel-provided facilities and local business telephone lines or other exchange access facilities. Both services provide discounts for volume and term with month-to-month, one, two, and three year contracts.

These services are generically similar to other service offerings approved by the Commission. Other related service offerings that are capable of generating "unauthorized" intraLATA traffic have also been approved. 2

The Commission, having considered the tariffs and being otherwise sufficiently advised, HEREBY ORDERS that:

- 1. SCB's motion to intervene is hereby granted.
- 2. LiTel's proposed tariffs shall be made effective pursuant to the provisions of 807 KAR 5:011, Section 9(1), subject

AT&T Megacom 800 Service was approved in Case No. 9874, AT&T Tariff Filing Proposing Megacom/Megacom 800 Service; AT&T Readyline 800 Service was approved in Case No. 10106, AT&T Tariff Filing Proposing AT&T Readyline 800 Service; and MCI 800 Service was approved in Case No. 10049, MCI's Tariff Filing to Introduce Metered Use Option H.

² These include AT&T's Software Defined Network Service, in Case No. 9518, AT&T Communications' Tariff approved Proposal for Software Defined Network Service; AT&T Megacom Service, approved in Case No. 9874; MCI's Prism I and Prism II approved in Case NO. 9828, MCI's Tariff Filing to Service, Establish Prism Plus, Prism I, and Prism II Services, MCI Prism III Service, which became effective pursuant to 807 KAR 5:011, Section 9(1); US Sprint UltraWATS and Advanced WATS Services, approved in Case No. 9902, US Sprint's Tariff Filing Rename its WATS Products, Change Billing Proposing to Calculation Methods for WATS, Introduce UltraWATS, Travelcard, 800 and Ultra 800, and US Sprint Banded WATS Service, Direct which became effective pursuant to 807 KAR 5:011, Section 9(1).

to any compensation arrangement that may be ordered in Administrative Case No. 323.3

- 3. LiTel shall measure and report interstate and intrastate jurisdictional usage and interLATA and intraLATA usage associated with Vista and Vista WATS service and file usage reports with the Commission on a guarterly basis.
- 4. LiTel shall inform Vista and Vista WATS customers that the use of the service to complete intraLATA calls is not authorized by the Commission.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 13th day of August, 1990.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

1 / //

Vice Chairman

danning to the same

ATTEST:

Executive Director

Administrative Case No. 323, An Inquiry Into IntraLATA Toll Competition, An Appropriate Compensation Scheme for Completion of IntraLATA Calls by Interexchange Carriers, and WATS Jurisdictionality.